

GFI startup survey results Oct 2022



8

8

Survey and participant overview

Background: GFI asked alternative protein startups to respond to a survey about the state of their companies, fundraising needs, business challenges, and where GFI can help in September to October 2022.

Responses: 234 participants in total



Other examples: biowaste, consultancy, computer modeling, mycelium/fungi, asset management...

Other examples: single cell protein, microorganism, mycelium, all, capital, growth factors...



Survey and participant overview cont.



Fundraising - amount raised to date

	Fundraising amount raised to date (\$USD)
Total	\$1,044,774,750
Median	\$700,000
Average	\$9,497,952
Count	110

Top **10**/110 responses account for **81%** of total reported fund raised

3 responses \$100M+ including one \$345M

Headquarters	Fundraising amount raised to date (\$USD)
U.S. and Canada	\$737,589,500
Asia Pacific	\$204,936,750
Europe	\$66,363,500
Middle East	\$22,550,000
Africa	\$7,235,000
Latin America	\$6,100,000

Alternative protein category	Fundraising amount raised to date (\$USD)
Plant-based & Fermentation	\$359,359,000
Plant based	\$202,302,250
Plant molecular farming	\$109,875,000
Cultivated	\$82,216,500
Cultivated & Fermentation	\$80,050,000
Plant-based, Cultivated, & Fermentation	\$77,000,000
Plant based & Cultivated	\$47,190,000
Precision Fermentation	\$33,930,000
Fermentation (multiple)	\$25,950,000
Other	\$12,033,000
Biomass Fermentation	\$8,340,000
Cultivated & Plant molecular farming	\$5,000,000
Fermentation & Plant molecular farming	\$1,000,000
Traditional Fermentation	\$500,000
Plant-based, Cultivated, Fermentation, & Plant molecular farming	\$29,000

Business model	Fundraising amount raised to date (\$USD)
B2B	\$580,642,500
B2C	\$234,491,500
Both	\$229,640,750



Source: GFI startup survey. As of October 2022. Note that not all 234 respondents answered every question.

Fundraising – desired investors & challenges

69.4

2%

Sought-after investor types Venture capital 47.9 Angel/HNW 44.6[%] Family office 3% 35.5 Corporate/strategic 31.4[%] Accelerator/incubator 0% 28.9 Private equity 3% 9.09 Endowment/foundation 8.26 Sovereign wealth fund 8.**2**6 Other (please specify) 3.31[%] Hedge fund 3.31 Pension fund 3.31 Insurance company %

Other examples: grants, impact fund, social impact investors, equipment financing companies...

Top fundraising challenges



Other examples: lack of traction, lack of understanding, investor bias, economic downturn...



Revenue and income





Manufacturing





Exit opportunities



Other examples: not applicable or unknown



Biggest challenges





Source: GFI startup survey. As of October 2022. Note that not all 234 respondents answered every question.

GFI's influence







Other examples: networking & connections, market tends, education, research resources...

GFI's influence cont.

Top GFI resources

I BERSDart Response to (Science and yt Deltachaster) States tort De Ection CE Smarathints Directoral Co-man Databasep initiasity consultants, Talent Database, jobs board, Data and analysis (Retail market data, Collaborative searcher Directory, Educationar webmans (Desiness and Science of Alt Protein Seminars) GFI newsletters

Meetings with GFI employees

Networking events Alt Protein Project resource hub/student resource guide Policy updates and fact sheets Not applicable - I've never used GFI resources Pitch deck reviews GFI Mentor Program Other (please specify)



%

Hiring

Retail

Resources for GFI to increase focus



Other examples: category specific market data, sourcing inputs, business validation, B2B focus, foodservice data



Additonal Slides/Data Points





12

Fundraising – future 12-month goals

	Total 12-mo fundraising goals	Percent of total
Series C	\$585,005,000	38%
Series B	\$419,150,000	27%
Series A	\$367,250,000	24%
Seed	\$92,300,000	6%
Pre-seed	\$27,080,000	2%
Series E or later	\$20,000,000	1%
Other	\$6,120,000	0.4%
Equipment financing	\$5,730,000	0.4%
Debt (general)	\$5,120,000	0.3%
Project financing	\$2,110,000	0.1%
Public investment second offering	\$400,000	0%
Series D	\$150,000	0%
Other specialty financing	\$2,000	0%
PIPE	\$0	0%
Total	\$1,530,417,000	100%

Alternative protein category	Total 12-mo fundraising goals
Cultivated	\$779,890,000
Plant based	\$153,210,000
Plant-based & Fermentation	\$152,050,000
Biomass Fermentation	\$144,600,000
Precision Fermentation	\$136,800,000
Plant based & Cultivated	\$59,175,000
Other	\$53,500,000
Plant-based, Cultivated, &	
Fermentation	\$23,200,000
Plant molecular farming	\$22,000,000
Fermentation (multiple)	\$5,500,000
Plant-based, Cultivated,	
Fermentation, & Plant	
molecular farming	\$492,000
Total	\$1,530,417,000

**Traditional Fermentation, Cultivated & Fermentation, Cultivated & Plant molecular farming, Plant-based & Plant molecular farming, and Fermentation & Plant molecular farming companies reported no figures

Fundraising – future 12-month goals

Alternative protein category	Pre-seed	Seed	Series A	Series B	Series C	Series D	Series E or later	PIPE Public investment second offering	Debt (general)) Equipment financing	Project financing	Other specialty financing		Total
Cultivated				0\$170,100,000				\$100,000	\$100,000			'	\$20,000	\$779,890,000
Plant based	\$5,185,000	\$18,900,000	\$94,025,000	\$15,000,000	\$15,000,000	′		\$300,000	′	\$2,200,000	\$1,600,000		\$1,000,000	\$153,210,000
Plant-based &		·	1			,,			· ['			,	1	,/
Fermentation	\$2,850,000	\$2,500,000	\$45,200,000	′	\$100,000,000	<u>ا ا</u>	1		′	\$1,000,000	\$500,000	<u> </u> '	[_]	\$152,050,000
Biomass		·	1	,		,,			· ['			,	1	·/
Fermentation	\$4,000,000	\$12,000,000	\$21,500,000	\$100,000,000	َار	_ '	1		\$5,000,000	\$2,000,000		<u> </u>	\$100,000	\$144,600,000
Precision		· ['	1			· ['			·			· ['	1	<u>ا</u> ا
Fermentation	\$3,800,000	\$3,000,000	\$30,000,000	\$100,000,000	· ا	'			′	'		<u>'</u> '	·ا	\$136,800,000
Plant based &		· ['	1	· [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ['			· ['			· [1	ı /'
Cultivated	\$675,000	\$4,500,000	\$20,000,000	\$34,000,000		'	1		′			<u>'</u>	·ا	\$59,175,000
Other	\$3,500,000	<u> </u>	\$45,000,000										\$5,000,000	\$53,500,000
Plant-based,		,	1	,		,			· ['			,	1	1
Cultivated, &		1 '	1	1		1 '	1		1 '	1		1	1 1	1 l'
Fermentation	\$700,000	\$2,500,000	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	'	'	\$20,000,000	J	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> '</u>	<u> </u>	\$23,200,000
Plant molecular		· ['	1			· ['			· [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· [·	1	1 J
farming	\$2,000,000	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\$20,000,000	<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>	'		<u> </u> '	<u> </u>	\$22,000,000
Fermentation		· ['	1	· [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ['			· [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· [·	1 1	1
(multiple)		\$5,000,000	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	·	<u> '</u> '	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	\$500,000		<u> '</u>	<u> </u>	\$5,500,000
Plant-based,		ſ'	1			· '			· [· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Г <u></u> ,	1	1 1
Cultivated,		1 '	1	1		1 '	1		1 '	1		1	1 1	1
Fermentation, & Plant		1 '	1	1		1 '	1		1 '	1		1	1 1	1
molecular farming	\$150,000	\$50,000	\$25,000	\$50,000		\$150,000			\$20,000	\$30,000	\$10,000	\$2,000	<u> </u>	\$492,000
Total	\$27,080,000	\$92,300,000	\$367,250,000	0 \$419,150,000	\$585,005,000	/\$150,000	/ <mark>\$20,000,00</mark> C	0 \$400,000	\$5,120,000	\$5,730,000	\$2,110,000	\$2,000	\$6,120,000	\$1,530,417,000

**Traditional Fermentation, Cultivated & Fermentation, Cultivated & Plant molecular farming, Plant-based & Plant molecular farming, and Fermentation & Plant molecular farming companies reported no figures



Biggest challenge – business model





Biggest challenge – production stage





Biggest challenge – dollars fundraised





Talent + Workforce Development







Talent & workforce participant overview

Responses: 130 participants in total responded to at least one question in the talent & workforce section



Other examples: biowaste, consultancy, computer modeling, mycelium/fungi, asset management...

Other examples: single cell protein, microorganism, mycelium, all, capital, growth factors...



Talent & workforce participant overview cont.



Talent and workforce – barriers to hiring



Technical skills missing today Top 15 overall skills missing **General skills missing** 35.1 2% Food science or meat science General: Food science or meat science 9% General: Process development and scale-25.0 Process development and scale-up 00 21.30% up Manufacturing General: Manufacturing 28.3 Product development General: Product development 18.5% Food safety 19% General: Food safety 17.3 Protein science General: Protein science Experimental design including DoE 15.7% General: Experimental design including Flavor, color, nutrition development Quality assurance and control (including 14.8 General: Flavor, color, nutrition 59% 13.8 cGMPs) Cultivated: Bioreactor operators and Data science and analysis 13% 13% General: Quality assurance and control 19% AI / machine learning (including cGMPs) 12.9 .4% Automation General: Data science and analysis 1% 11⁶% Packaging 6!% Cultivated: Scaffold design 14% Sequencing and bioinformatics 8% Plant-based: Food texture development 14% Mechanical engineering 8% General Plant-based: Protein extrusion Computational fluid dynamics End product characterization (rheology, 10.4 Plant-based 3.18% Cultivated: Cell culture media development Cultivated 9% L*a*b, TPA, etc.) 0% Fermentation Other (please specify)

35.

19

%



Plant-based	Plant-based					
Skill or background	% respondents	pondents Skill or background				
General: Food science or meat science	40%	Cultivated: Bioreactor operators and controllers	38%			
General: Process development and scale-up	28%	General: Food science or meat science	32%			
General: Protein science	22%	Cultivated: Scaffold design	30%			
General: Product development	21%	Cultivated: Cell culture media development	30%			
Plant-based: Protein extrusion	21%	Cultivated: Cell line engineering	27%			
General: Manufacturing	19%	Cultivated: Tissue engineering	24%			
Plant-based: Food texture development	19%	General: Process development and scale-up	22%			
General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	17%	General: Manufacturing	22%			
General: Food safety	14%	General: Experimental design including DoE	22%			
General: Data science and analysis	14%	General: Food safety	19%			

Traditional Fermentation		Biomass Fermentation	
Skill or background	% respondents	Skill or background	% respondents
General: Food science or meat science	42%	General: Food science or meat science	45%
General: Process development and scale-up	42%	General: Process development and scale-up	41%
General: Manufacturing	42%	General: Manufacturing	41%
General: Food safety	25%	General: Food safety	27%
General: Data science and analysis	25%	Fermentation: Downstream processing	27%
General: AI / machine learning	25%	General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	27%
General: Automation	25%	General: Data science and analysis	18%
General: Experimental design including DoE	17%	General: AI / machine learning	18%
General: Product development	17%	General: Automation	14%
General: Flavor, color, nutrition development	17%	General: Experimental design including DoE	14%



Precision Fermentation		Multiple		
Skill or background	% respondents	Skill or background	% respondents	
General: Process development and scale-up	36%	General: Food science or meat science	37%	
Fermentation: Downstream processing	32%	General: Process development and scale-up	37%	
General: Food science or meat science	27%	General: Manufacturing	33%	
General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	27%	Fermentation: Downstream processing	23%	
General: Manufacturing	23%	General: Food safety	20%	
General: AI / machine learning	23%	General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	20%	
Fermentation: Microbial strain development	23%	General: Flavor, color, nutrition development	20%	
General: Food safety	18%	General: AI / machine learning	20%	
General: Protein science	18%	Plant-based: Protein extrusion	20%	
General: Data science and analysis	14%	General: Protein science	17%	

Plant molecular farming	Other (please specify)		
Skill or background	% respondents	Skill or background	% respondents
General: Food science or meat science	50%	General: Manufacturing	38%
General: Process development and scale-up	33%	General: Food safety	38%
General: Manufacturing	33%	General: Data science and analysis	38%
General: Food safety	33%	General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	25%
General: Protein science	33%	General: AI / machine learning	25%
Plant-based: Food product design	33%	Other (please specify)	25%
General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	17%	General: Experimental design including DoE	25%
General: Flavor, color, nutrition development	17%	General: Process development and scale-up	13%
General: End product characterization (rheology, L*a*b, TPA, etc.)	17%	Fermentation: Downstream processing	13%
General: Product development	17%	General: Protein science	13%







Please provide more context on how geographical factors have posed challenges with respect to talent and hiring.	Tags
My country does not have this industry (Ukraine)	Industry and Busine
companies are based in East and West coast where there are not many manufacturing capabilities available	Industry and Busine
Non availability of ingredients	Industry and Busine
A managerial role as a Chief Science Officer to lead the R&D and development of our alt protein products	Industry and Busine
We're in an innovation hub yet our customers and business model is global hard to get innovation-oriented employees outside of the hub.	Industry and Busine
Brexit	Misc.
am in school still. People don't like longterm projects	Miso.
South Africa being a educationally starved country does not have many people aware of it.	Miso.
Training required	Misc.
no clear feed back from where we are operating	Misc.
We haven't yet experienced significant challenges with hiring. We have recently hired three technical cell line eng staff with a wide range of species experience.	Misc.
Not so many experienced trained staff available willing to to a perfect job	Miso.
very challenging, the traditions in meat and diary foods are very strong.	Miso.
Pakistan is between Afghanistan & India, and	N/A
Not	N/A
	N/A
Not an issue we are a hybrid organization	N/A
non	N/A
rhey did not	N/A
Lack of scientists in Europe.	Relocation
n our case we may find the right talent not located in our city/town. The question occurs if the talent is willing to move to our city location	Relocation
Diviously young talented people want to live in urbanized locations / cities to manage their work-life balance best	Relocation
Company located in a middle-sized city and people are not very much willing to relocate.	Relocation
JS to EU relocation is challenging	Relocation
Dur company is located in Budapest/Hungary and it is not easy to get staff relocate to our country	Relocation
t is challenging to make talents move to the Czech Republic.	Relocation
We are in Africa and most talent with knowledge of cultivated meat is outside of Africa, having access to anyone that would travel here is difficult.	Relocation
we operate in the UK and Kenya and we find that there is more consolidation of talent in the US	Relocation
Limited talent pool in the country and relocation to the country is not a popular choice	Relocation
Singapore has a lack of biology talent as the pool is typically siphoned to A" Star	Relocation
we are located in the country's periphery, while naturally, most of the potential employees are situated in/near its center. Many of the talents we spoke with were unwilling to relocate to our region, while schlepping over is not a viable option.	Relocation
People don't want to move?	Relocation
r's very expensive	Relocation
Nost people with the right skills life outside EU	Relocation
Major talent do not want to relocate to other than mega cities.	Relocation
Midwest is not a great place for recruiting talent	Relocation
we are in New Zealand. We don't have a large pool of appropriate talent because of limited opportunities in science generally, the country remains GMO free also.	Relocation
Mexico has great junior talent in tissue engineering and alike but lacking senior very experienced talent. Relocating highly qualified researchers from the US to Mexico is not easy.	Relocation
People need to move to Sweden	Relocation
Talent in this field prefer the coast.	Relocation
live in a very small country and it's almost impossible to find the good talents to work in a start-up "for free". Of course people from close country are not willing to move and pay rent without having a job.	Relocation
South Africa is only just starting off in the cultivated meat industry, no trained food scientists, cell culture scientists with training or experience in the cultivated meat industry	Relocation
We are located in Southern Europe - low salaries	Salaries
high qualified talents dont want to realocate to a country where salaries are cinsiderable lower then others in europe	Salaries
Low salaries and relocation.	Salaries
Singapore requires high starting salaries and significant time investment in providing a visa (Employment pass) for foreigners	Salaries

What are the skills, disciplines, and/or sectors we should target to generate more awareness about opportunities in alternative proteins? -Accross universities where food scientists & food technologists are educated -Accross young employees working in the classical food industry that may not be satisfied with their job We need biotech engineers but they never even considered a career in foodtech. Foodtech has to be made sexier i believe OSR; street food, in FS institutions, public services... The whole nine yards in Pakistan Strategic advice on how to succeed in the market among high competition. Brand/product mgmt, consumer insight, governance.. SCALING UP Pharmaceutical, Biotechnology and traditional fermentation companies regulatory affairs Push sustainability - do your bit for your planet. Work in sustainable proteins Awareness of people with transferable skills to alternative proteins Biotechnology and bioengineering domains Biochem, physics, chem eng - bring Material Science people into alt-protein. I think giving younger generations hope and identify that there are vast funding opportunities available in all fields of work related to alternative proteins is a key element to get more diverse backgrounds Cultivated seafood Look at tying up with chefs and ordinary consumers in India and Asia Pacific region. They can be the ones who have picked up the alternative proteins as a lifestyle choice and regularly cook with these food products. The Asian market is huge and the diet can shift towards using alternative proteins. This can open up the market and increase profits. The opportunity for growth broadcast outside the media Read by the already interested marketing AI / Machine learning, data science



What types of skills, education, and training are missing from these existing talent streams?

Scientific Methodologies development and once results are measured in scientific measurement equ	uipment, understanding the results and implement adjustments.
biotech engineers	
RND	
there are no skills, education or training present in creating existing or future talent streams	
Strategic	
Lack of awareness and then scale up	
business	
markets	
Bridge the gap from technical to sales	
not sure yet	
aquaculture	
The cultivated meat industry is simply too young to be able to hire talents with previous experience.	
Specialists in protein science and/or food industries	
Academic institutions to foster skill development	
Lots of chemical or mechanical engineers of all experience levels who care about sustainable techno	logies
Culture of additive contribution. Hard to find emerging and young talent that want to go beyond avera	ge performance. We're not asking or looking for people who want i
grind, overwork, are toxic, etc. But it is hard to find positive urgency in a space that needs it more tha	n ever.
Bioengineering and material sciences	
not enough material science (proteins, starches, hydrocolloids, interactions between)	
scaffold design for texture and taste	
Marketing, plant biology, and product designers. We need to get people who can constantly play devi bubble.	il's advocate so that the network doesn't become a yes-man hype
Lack of general information	
Industrial scale manufacturing of alternative protein food is non existent in India	
No clarity on what kind of skills are going to be needed.Will it be professionals and students from pha	arma/food industry/bio-technology/chemical engineering or social
sciences who will be needed in the industry once it grows, is unclear.	
Practical and Hands-on exposure.	
Biosynthetic knowdlege	
Lack of experience in Bio availability	
Being able to merge technical knowledge and business/sales acumen	
Technical experienced skills	
usage of these type of proteins, mechanical and functional properties of these novel proteins	
VC focus on Alt Proteins are few	
product launches	
Alternative protein product development	













Cultivated skills needed in 5 years 18 .1 Bioreactor operators and controllers 8 % .5 Measurement techniques 0 9. % 09 Tissue engineering 7.% 95 Cell culture media development 6.% 82 Cell line engineering 66 82 Scaffold design 5.% Cell culture (aseptic technique, 68 cryopreservation, passaging) 56 68 Metabolomics 4.% 55 Flow cytometry 3.% Molecular biology techniques (PCR, 41 Western Blot, cloning, etc) %

Fermentation skills needed in 5 years 19.3 Downstream processing % 13.64 Microbial strain development % 12.50 Feedstock development % 10.23 Product separation % 9.09% Microbe characterization 6.82% Biomass removal Lipid extraction and fractionation 5.68% Aseptic technique 3.41% Gas and liquid chromatography 1.14%

9fi

Plant-based		Cultivated	
Skill or background	% respondents	Skill or background	% respondents
General: Manufacturing	51%	General: Manufacturing	46%
General: Process development and scale-up	40%	Cultivated: Bioreactor operators and controllers	37%
General: Food science or meat science	35%	General: Process development and scale-up	34%
Plant-based: Food texture development	33%	General: Product development	31%
General: Product development	30%	General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	31%
Plant-based: Food product design	30%	General: Food science or meat science	29%
General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	26%	General: Food safety	29%
General: Data science and analysis	26%	General: Automation	29%
Plant-based: Ingredient processing	26%	Cultivated: Measurement techniques	29%
General: AI / machine learning	23%	General: AI / machine learning	26%

Traditional Fermentation		Biomass Fermentation	
Skill or background	% respondents	Skill or background	% respondents
General: Manufacturing	73%	General: Manufacturing	65%
General: Food science or meat science	55%	Fermentation: Downstream processing	59%
Fermentation: Downstream processing	55%	General: Automation	59%
General: Process development and scale-up	45%	General: Process development and scale-up	47%
General: Product development	45%	General: AI / machine learning	47%
General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	36%	General: Food science or meat science	35%
General: Automation	36%	General: Product development	35%
General: Data science and analysis	27%	General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	35%
General: Experimental design including DoE	27%	General: Data science and analysis	35%
General: Mechanical engineering	27%	General: Flavor, color, nutrition development	29%

Source: GFI startup survey. As of October 2022. Note that not all 130 participants in this section of the survey answered every question in the section.

QL

Precision Fermentation	
Skill or background	% respondents
General: Process development and scale-up	59%
General: Manufacturing	53%
General: Data science and analysis	41%
Fermentation: Downstream processing	35%
General: Automation	35%
General: AI / machine learning	35%
General: Flavor, color, nutrition development	29%
Fermentation: Feedstock development	29%
Fermentation: Microbial strain development	29%
General: Experimental design including DoE	29%

Plant molecular farming		
Skill or background	% respondents	
General: Process development and scale-up	67%	
General: Manufacturing	67%	
General: Food science or meat science	67%	
General: Automation	33%	
General: Flavor, color, nutrition development	33%	
General: Product development	33%	
General: Sequencing and bioinformatics	33%	
Cultivated: Flow cytometry	33%	
General: Data science and analysis	0%	
Fermentation: Downstream processing	0%	

Multiple		Other (please specify)	
Skill or background	% respondents	Skill or background	% respondents
General: Manufacturing	65%	General: Process development and scale-up	67%
General: Process development and scale-up	48%	General: Manufacturing	56%
General: Product development	39%	General: AI / machine learning	56%
General: Food science or meat science	35%	General: Automation	44%
Fermentation: Downstream processing	35%	General: Product development	33%
General: AI / machine learning	30%	General: Data science and analysis	33%
General: Automation	26%	General: Quality assurance and control (including cGMPs)	33%
General: Flavor, color, nutrition development	26%	Fermentation: Downstream processing	22%
General: Data science and analysis	26%	General: Experimental design including DoE	22%
General: Experimental design including DoE	26%	General: Food safety	22%





Talent and workforce – forming partnerships





Methodology notes

- Have companies list their company name in the survey to avoid duplicate responses from the same company
- Total fundraised \$'s and future fundraising goals in a multiple-choice selection (<\$100m, \$100-\$200m, etc. (someone could've easily missed a zero or added one too many, skewing the data)
- Provide definitions of alternative protein category options (ex: Plant-based = xyz, Biomass Fermentation = xyz, etc.)
- Limit # of total questions to improve response rates (potentially breakout into two surveys)
- Somehow work to get a representative sample of the industry by business size, stage, location, etc.? (easier said than done)



37